

i 1 p 1 pneu 40

The House of Education,
Ambleside.

19.6.1903.

Dear

We (the P.M.U.)
believe it would help
the cause of education
if we could impress
upon Schoolmasters
+ mistresses the

importance of a wide
curriculum & for
use of good living
books in, at any rate,
the lower classes

(^{say} Children under 14)
of their schools.

would you be good
enough to let me know
if from your experience
(see)

of your own children,
who have done good
work in the Parents'
Review School, you have
any strong conviction
that a copious use
of good books makes
for intelligence,
intellectual interests
& general ability, and
I am truly yr. C. H. Mason

Girls 1 p 4 pncu 40
if the children enjoy
their books.

The House of Education,
Ambleside.

2. 1904

Dear Mr. Underhill

Thank you for
telling me that said
you might use my name.
I had forgotten the
circumstance, judged by
my general rule, but had
an ant the only exception.
I send the prospectus about

the school. The set of papers
only one class will satisfy
the value I prefer that.

For the paper it is not merely
a question of paying a fee but
of conforming with conditions.

I will be quite enough
to have the lower classes do the
work. It is a condition that
each of the boys should have
the books set or most of them
that the classes (those in
the school) should take the
examination papers & that
one boy's work should be
sent up.

The House of Education,
Ambleside.

I wish I could say - 'Come
& see me' but, alas, half-an-
hour's talk is quite the
most difficult thing for
me to give away.

Yours in all good will
& with all good wishes.

C. H. Thron
(EKSES)

I think highly of your school
& speak highly of it

i3 p1 pneu 40

The House of Education,
Ambleside.

8. 2. 1904

Gentlemen

I am greatly
disturbed by your letter.

As
I have had my correspondence

books searched find

that letters were posted

to you on Oct 8 1902

" 10 "

Nov 11 1902

i3p2 pneu40

as well as on Feb 7 1953

March 2nd 1953

" 27 1953

April 4th 1953

possibly there

Two of these letters I knew
were asking for
books for review and
have only a limited
space in the Periodic Review
proper to choose the
books I review.

~~I~~ cannot however
think that you have no

i3p3 Pneu 40

record of the correspondence
on the Medieval Town Series
with reference to the
M.S. of mine on Winchester.

The loss, if it be so,
of the MS is irreparable
as it was perhaps a
complete work on
Hampshire. I can
only beg you to do your
utmost to trace the
M.S. for me. It was

the work of many years
done on the spot & I have
no means of knowing
any of the section now in
question.

24 р/рнсн 40

The House of Education,
Ambleside.

12. 2. 1954

Dear Mr. Franklin

The Penns' Educational Union was formed in the first place to carry out the teaching contained in Home Education. The page of the Penns' Review ^{general the open sections given in the Branches} carry out the intention of (b) in the Object, that is, to collect & make

Known the best information
Experience on the subject."

Lady Isabel Margesson &
some other members of the
Committee left us ^{in June 1894} some years
ago because we could not
receive their amendment ³
~~which~~ ^{which} ~~pledging~~
us to the "New Education" as set
forth by Pestalozzi, Herbert
Spencer, Froebel & other Educational
Philosophers."

As a matter of fact the P. N. U.
was designed as a tacit
protest against the fundamental
Principles of the Philosophers
mentioned; but this is a
subject I have dwelt on at
length in several connections.
It is quite true that at the
date of the rupture, I protested
against the use of the names
& definitions.

I have tried for years to
hide behind the phrase
'P. N. U. Thought' but we
make little headway as

an educational power in the
 country. We lay ourselves
 open to the charge brought
 against us by the malcontents
 of '94 that "absolute rapine"⁴²
 is to prevail" as regards the
 best principles & methods of
 Education as understood by the
 Union.⁴²

~~Implication~~ As people grow
 earnest about education,
 they will either neglect us
 as amateurs or require to
 know what our platform is.
 So it seems to me well to
 draw up ~~even~~ in adequate

The House of Education,
Ambleside.

statement of what we teach;
also it seems necessary that this
teaching must be protected by
the name of the original or
any one who speaks for
P.N.E.U. has a right to say 'I
think' & call it 'P.N.E.U.
teaching'. And this must
result in the "absolute
rapeness" we deplore.

But this statement is no
new thing. The Society
originated in the little

Manual called Home
Education, which contains the
 whole in germ, & by
 succeeding expansion &
 elucidation has appeared in
 the Parents' Review & has, for the
 most part, been first read
 at the Annual Conferences of
 the Union.

Edw. W.

C. 17.

The House of Education,

Ambleside.

16 . 2 . 1904

Instead of
18 cent

While acknowledging that
what may be called the
Psychology of the Laboratory,
has been of enormous indirect
(as well as direct) use to
Parents in making them
aware of defects which
might have passed un-
noticed & untreated, I am
inclined to emphasise the

fact the the normal mind,
 like the normal body, had
 best be left to itself to transact
 its ~~the~~ vital processes.

copy

ib p 1 pneu 40

The House of Education,

Ambleside.

26.2.1952

Dear Sir

I have read your paper & the ensuing letters in the United Service Gazette with intense interest.

It appears to me that you have put your hand to a great work at the right moment & at the right point.

If Woolwich & Sandhurst show that they have no use for the education which is done by cramming & tested by competition, I truly believe

1602 pneu 40
that the Keystone of the whole
System will be removed.

Public Schools Preparatory
Schools alike will be brought
to pause.

May I say it seems to me
that you will have to order
your tactics for a ^{wide} campaign
that your note will have to be
positive not negative.

The possibility of competition
depends upon marks marks
can only be firm justly for facts
to be got by cramming.

This sort of knowledge
seems to me futile & to have
no relation to the living
interests which educate us.

Our present effort in the
P.M.E.U. is to make people
realise that education is the
science of relations, that is,
that it is only as "subjects" like

The House of Education,
Ambleside.

a living hold upon people
that they have power to educate
them.

I wonder how it occurred to
you to propose a curriculum to
the authorities on some such
lines.

In Literature, for example,
should not the student get at
his own ideas, however crude
of Prospero Miranda, for example,
before notes, lectures & so on
can be of any use to him.

In History, we want rather
to stimulate the History-hunger,
native to man, than to store
the information to be got out of
a text book or an encyclopedia.

26 p 4 pneu 40
In Science, the beginning middle
t, for many people, the end should
be field-work, stay-work, quick sight
for some detail & change &
intelligent curiosity as to how &
why.

The bane of the cramming
system is that it eliminates
curiosity.

What with this College, the
Parents' Review School & the
Mother's Educational Course,
we have done a little in the
way of sending people out
with quick intelligence &
vivid interests.

We find that children &
young people love real books
with first hand thought
as much as they hate
compilations & that they find
knowledge so deeply interesting

The House of Education,
Ambleside.

for its own sake that they work
for the sake of getting it with
out other stimulus beyond
ordinarily good teaching.

A different order of work
must be tested by different
methods - a final pass or fail
Examination, as you suggest,
addressed to the intelligence
not to the memory of the student
with ~~more~~ viva voce work should
be all that is necessary.

But you know more about
these matters than I do.

I am returning to send you
a paper which is to form the
Key-note of the next P. N. E. U.

Conference. We want to get
people to see for themselves what
is & what is not worth while in
education.

Your support should be very
valuable indeed to us & I shall
watch further developments
with immense interest.

But I do think that the only
way to attack educational
abuses is by under-mining.
Once you have a hearing for a
living curriculum & all is done.

May I say that I think you
have made some splendid
points in both paper & letter.

I am, with keen sympathy,
very truly yr.

C. M. Mason
(R. Sec.)